μ-Oxo and alkoxo complexes of gold(III) with 6-alkyl-2,2'bipyridines. Synthesis, characterization and X-ray structures

Maria Agostina Cinellu,^{*a*} Giovanni Minghetti,^{*,†,*a*} Maria Vittoria Pinna,^{*a*} Sergio Stoccoro,^{*a*} Antonio Zucca,^{*a*} Mario Manassero ^{*,*b*} and Mirella Sansoni^{*b*}

^a Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Sassari, via Vienna 2, I-07100 Sassari, Italy



The reactivity of a series of gold(III) adducts [Au(HL)Cl₃] **1a**–**4a** with 6-alkyl-2,2'-bipyridines HL (N₂C₁₀H₇R, R = CH₂Me **1**, CHMe₂ **2**, CMe₃ **3** and CH₂CMe₃ **4**) has been studied. The reactions of **1a**–**4a** with silver(I) ions or MeCO₂Na afford cationic complexes [Au₂(HL)₂(μ -O)₂]²⁺ **1b**–**4b** (as BF₄ or PF₆ salts) having the gold atom bonded to the nitrogen atoms of the bipyridine and to two oxygen atoms. The crystal structure of **4b**[PF₆]₂, solved by X-ray diffraction, shows that the cation is a centrosymmetric dimer with two oxo (O²⁻) groups bridging two [Au{N₂C₁₀H₇(CH₂CMe₃)-6}] moieties. In the case of **2a**, besides the oxo species, mononuclear ionic derivatives [Au(L*)Cl]⁺ **2*c** [HL* = N₂C₁₀H₇(CMe₂OH)-6; BF₄, PF₆ or AuCl₄ salts] have been isolated. The latter complexes contain an unexpected Au–O bond. The spectroscopic data and the crystal structure of **2*c**[AuCl₄] indicate a tridentate N,N,O behaviour of the ligand which forms a [5,5]-fused ring system.

Gold has always been considered as the most noble of all metals, and in tune with this it binds only weakly to oxygen. Therefore gold complexes in which oxygen is directly linked to the metal tend to have limited stability. Only one oxide of gold is known, Au_2O_3 ,¹ and even this is unstable decomposing to gold metal at moderately high temperatures (*ca.* 160 °C).² Several oxoaurates have been characterized and recently the synthesis and structure of a new aurate, $CaAu_2O_4$, has been reported.³ At least as far as we know, gold(III) oxo complexes are unknown, the only oxo complexes being the gold(I) species $[\{(R_3P)Au\}_3(\mu-O)]^{+4}$ (R = alkyl or Ph) and $[\{(Ph_3P)Au\}_4$ - $(\mu-O)]^{2+.5}$

Oxo complexes⁶ are potential models for the activation of molecular oxygen on metal surfaces and are likely to be involved in reactions catalysed by second and third series Group 9–11 metal surfaces.⁷

In a recent paper⁸ we have reported the synthesis of a series of adducts [Au(HL)Cl₃] and cyclometallated derivatives [Au(L)Cl][X] (X = AuCl₄, BF₄ or PF₆) with 6-benzyl- and 6-alkyl-2,2'-bipyridines, HL. In the metallated species the 6-substituted-2,2'-bipyridines act as tridentate N,N,C ligands giving rise to [5,6]- or [5,5]-fused ring systems. Both sp² and sp³ C-H activation was achieved. The activation of the latter bond required removal of two chloride ligands from the adduct by means of silver ions. From the reaction of the complex [Au{N₂C₁₀H₇(CMe₃)-6}Cl₃] with AgBF₄, besides the cyclometallated derivative [Au{N₂C₁₀H₇(CMe₂)-6}Cl][BF₄], a second product was isolated which was tentatively formulated as the cationic dimer [Au₂(HL)₂(μ -O)₂][BF₄]₂ on the basis of microanalytical, conductivity and spectroscopic data.

In this paper we discuss the reactions of a series of adducts $[Au(HL)Cl_3]$ (HL = 6-alkyl-2,2'-bipyridine) with silver ions and with MeCO₂Na to give oxo-bridged adducts $[Au_2(HL)_2(\mu-O)_2]$ - $[X]_2$ (X = BF₄ or PF₆). The crystal structure of $[Au_2\{N_2C_{10}H_7-(CH_2CMe_3)-6\}_2(\mu-O)_2]$ [PF₆]₂, the first gold(III) oxo complex, gives evidence for an Au₂(μ -O)₂ core.

The reaction of $N_2C_{10}H_7(CHMe_2)$ -6 with $Na[AuCl_4]$ is also reported. Besides the adduct $[Au(HL)Cl_3]$ and the oxo-bridged derivatives, a mononuclear cationic species $[Au(L^*)Cl]^+$ $[HL^* = N_2C_{10}H_7\{CMe_2(OH)\}$ -6] is obtained. According to spectroscopic and X-ray data this compound contains an Au–O bond. The anionic ligand L* binds to the metal through a set of N,N,O donor atoms to form a [5,5]-fused ring system. A brief report on part of this work has been given.⁹

Results and Discussion

The adducts $[Au(HL)Cl_3]$ **1a–4a** (HL = N₂C₁₀H₇R, R = CH₂Me **1**, CHMe₂ **2**, CMe₃ **3** and CH₂CMe₃ **4**) have been prepared according to established methods.⁸ As shown by the X-ray structure determination of complex $[Au\{N_2C_{10}H_7(CHMePh)-6\}Cl_3]$,⁸ the gold atom is bonded to the nitrogen atom of the unsubstituted pyridine ring; a long-range interaction with the other nitrogen atom is observed.



In a previous paper⁸ we have shown that the cyclometallated derivative $[Au \{N_2C_{10}H_7(CMe_2CH_2)-6\}Cl][BF_4]$ **3m** $[BF_4]$ can be obtained by reaction of complex **3a** with AgBF₄ in acetone solution according to Scheme 1.

In spite of several attempts, cation $3\mathbf{m}$ is the only cyclometallated species we were able to obtain with the 6-alkyl-2,2'bipyridines 1–4. It is not simple to account for the behaviour of this series of ligands; however it is worth noting that (*i*) ligand 4, *i.e.* the neopentyl-substituted bipyridine, should form by metallation a six-membered C,N cycle which is best known to be less favoured than a five-membered one¹⁰ and (*ii*) that on going from 1 to 3 the number of Me groups increases from one to three. Thus with ligand 3, activation of a C–H bond might be favoured by statistical effects.

To achieve activation of the $C(sp^3)$ -H bond both removal of two chloride ligands with silver salts, likely to give a bis(solvato) intermediate, and addition of HL are required. Cyclometallated **3m**[BF₄] is accompanied by a second species which can be separated due to its low solubility in acetone. On the basis of microanalytical data, conductivity measurements, IR, NMR



[†] E-Mail: mingh@ssmain.uniss.it



Scheme 1 solv = $Me_2CO \text{ or } H_2O$



Seneme 2

and mass spectra the latter species was tentatively formulated as a cationic dimer $[Au_2(HL)_2(\mu-O)_2]^{2+}$ 3b.⁸

Now we report that by reaction of the adducts **1a**, **2a** and **4a** with AgBF₄, in the presence of equimolar amounts of the corresponding ligand the oxo-bridged adducts **1b**, **2b** and **4b**, analogous to **3b**, are isolated. The oxo species are likely to result from a diaqua complex $[Au(HL)(H_2O)_2Cl]^{2+}$ postulated as an intermediate, through an alternative route (Scheme 2). The reaction was carried out in acetone, thus the aqua complexes are presumed to be due to adventitious water. The presence of excess ligand is necessary to prevent decomposition; the excess is recovered from the reaction mixture in the protonated form $[H_2L][BF_4]$. The same oxo derivatives can be obtained in better yields by reaction of **1a**, **2a** and **4a** with sodium acetate in MeCN–H₂O in the presence of KPF₆.

The compounds $[Au_2(HL)_2(\mu-O)_2][X]_2$ (X = BF₄ or PF₆) 1b[X]₂-4b[X]₂ are light-sensitive pale yellow solids with relatively high melting points. They are readily soluble in acetonitrile, sparingly soluble in acetone and insoluble in water, alcohols and chlorinated solvents. The molar conductivity values of 1b[X]2-4b[X]2 in acetonitrile, at room temperature, are typical of a 2:1 electrolyte.¹¹ In the infrared spectra, in addition to strong bands due to the anions, bands typical of a coordinated bipyridyl are observed at expected wavenumbers. Strong absorptions at 672–675 and 640–650 cm⁻¹ are tentatively assigned to Au₂O₂ stretching modes by comparison with a number of di-µ-oxo bridged complexes of other metals.¹² In the ¹H NMR spectra (Table 1) of complexes $3b[BF_4]_2$ and $4b[PF_6]_2$ only one set of signals is observed suggesting that of the two possible geometrical isomers, cis and trans, one only is present in solution at room temperature. The resonances of the seven aromatic protons are shifted downfield with respect to free HL (Table 2). The resonances of the alkyl substituents are likewise



shifted: in complex **3b** the methyl protons resonate at δ 1.74 ($\Delta \delta$ +0.33 in CD₃CN), in complex **4b** the methylene protons are shifted 0.87 ppm downfield. These features on the whole support co-ordination of both nitrogen atoms.

The ¹H NMR spectra of $1b[X]_2$, $2b[X]_2$ (X = BF₄ or PF₆) and $4b[BF_4]_2$ in various solvents show the presence of two isomers in molar ratios that depend on the bulkiness of the alkyl substituent as well as on the method of preparation. In the ¹H NMR spectra of the mixtures the resonances of some protons in the cis and trans isomers are quite distinct from one another, whereas others are partially overlapping. In the case of complex 4b[BF₄]₂ assignment of the resonances to the *cis* and *trans* isomer respectively can be achieved by comparison with the spectrum of 4b[PF₆]₂ (trans isomer) whose structure in the solid state has been solved by an X-ray determination (see below). In particular, the resonance of the H^{6'} proton of the *cis* isomer is shifted downfield with respect to that of the *trans* isomer $[\Delta \delta$ +0.14 in (CD₃)₂CO] whereas that of the H⁵ proton is shifted upfield ($\Delta\delta$ -0.05). Similarly the resonance of the methylene protons of the *cis* isomer is shifted downfield ($\Delta\delta$ +0.06) and that of the methyl protons upfield ($\Delta\delta$ -0.02). The ¹H NMR spectrum of *trans*-4b[PF₆]₂ at variable temperature (20-70 °C, CD₃CN) shows that, on increasing temperature, $trans \rightarrow cis$ isomerization occurs; at ca. 70 °C the molar ratio cis: trans is 1:5. The spectra of the other oxo complexes are comparable to that of $4b[BF_4]_2$.

The dinuclear nature of these dicationic complexes is supported by their FAB mass spectra (positive ions). In each case (see Experimental section) the more intense peaks are observed at mass values that correspond to $([M + X]^+)$ (X = BF₄ or PF₆) and to $(\frac{1}{2}M^+)$; in addition, fragments corresponding to (M - H) are found in some cases. Surprisingly, in all the mass spectra a weak peak is found corresponding to the species [Au(HL)-(OH)₂]⁺, *i.e.* a reasonable precursor of the dimeric oxo complex (see Scheme 2).

The structure in the solid state of the oxo-bridged dimer $trans - [Au_2 \{N_2 C_{10} H_7 (CH_2 CMe_3) - 6\}_2 (\mu - O)_2] [PF_6]_2 4b [PF_6]_2 has$ been solved by X-ray diffraction. It consists of the packing of $[Au_2{N_2C_{10}H_7(CH_2CMe_3)-6}_2(\mu-O)_2]^{2+}$ cations and $[PF_6]^{-1}$ anions in the molar ratio 1:2 with no unusual van der Waals contacts. An ORTEP¹³ view of the cation is reported in Fig. 1. Principal bond parameters are reported in Table 3. The dinuclear cation displays crystallographic inversion symmetry and the central Au₂O₂ ring is thus exactly planar; the transannular Au ··· Au distance, 3.017(1) Å, is rather short.[‡] The co-ordination at gold is substantially planar, with a minor deviation towards square pyramidal [maximum deviations from the best plane being Au +0.0251(4) Å and O' -0.0195(35) Å]. The dihedral angle between this best plane and the Au₂O₂ core is $1.4(3.1)^{\circ}$. The Au-N(1)-C(5)-C(6)-N(2) pentaatomic ring is quasiplanar [maximum deviations from the best plane being N(1) + 0.0421(37) Å and C(5) - 0.0289(45) Å] and forms, with the gold best plane, a dihedral angle of 3.6(1.4)°. The two Au–O and Au-O' bond lengths, 1.976(3) and 1.961(3) Å, respectively,

[‡] For a comparison the Au···Au distances in the dinuclear species $[Au(C_6H_4NO_2-2)_2(\mu-OH)]_2\cdot 2Et_2O^{14}$ and 1-hydroxy-2,3,4,5-tetraphenylauracyclopentadiene¹⁵ are 3.150(1) and 3.428 Å (average of two independent molecules), respectively.

Table 1 Proton NMR data^a

Compound	Solvent		$\mathrm{H}^{6'}$	Other aromatics	СН	CH ₂	CH3
$1 N_2 C_{10} H_7 (CH_2 Me) - 6$	CDCl ₃		8.67 (dd)	8.47-7.16		2.90 (q. 7.6)	1.38 (t, 7.6)
1a	CDCl		9.04 (d)	8.20-7.47		3.27 (q, 7.5)	1.48 (t, 7.5)
1b ^b BF ₄ ⁻ salt	DMSO	[1.2]	8.90 (dd)	8.85-7.90		3.48 (q, 7.5)	1.35 (t, 7.5)
-		[1]	с			3.42 (q, 7.2)	1.40 (t, 7.2)
PF_6^- salt	CD ₃ CN	i i	8.82 (d)	8.49-7.79		3.50 (q, 7.3)	1.41 (t, 7.3)
0	5	[4]	8.67 (d)			3.45 (q, 7.5)	1.46 (t, 7.5)
$2 N_{2}C_{10}H_{7}(CHMe_{2})-6$	$(CD_3)_2CO$		8.66 (dd)	8.56-7.26	3.13 (m, 6.8)		1.34 (d, 6.8)
2 10 / 2 2	DMSO		8.85 (dd)	8.66-7.60	3.25 (m. 6.8)		1.34 (d. 6.8)
2a	$(CD_{3})_{3}CO$		9.36 (dd)	8.63-7.66	3.64 (m. 6.9)		1.45 (d. 6.9)
$2b^{b} BF_{4}$ salt	CD ₂ CN	[1]	8.88 (dd)	8.51-7.90	4.57 (m. 6.9)		1.43 (d. 6.9)
4		[5]	8.63 (dd)		4.41 (m. 6.6)		1.46 (d. 6.6)
PF _ℓ ⁻ salt	$(CD_2)_2CO^d$	hi	9.11 (dd)	8.92-8.14	4.76 (m. 6.6)		1.53 (d. 6.6)
	(3)2	[2]	с.		4 62 (m. 6 6)		1 54 (d. 6 6)
	CD ₂ CN	[3]	8 87 (dd)	8 52-7 91	4 57 (m, 6.8)		1 43 (d. 6 8)
	023011	[2]	8 63 (dd)	0102 /101	4 41 (m, 6 8)		1 47 (d. 6 8)
	DMSO	[2]	8 93 (dd)	8 86-8 02	4 59 (m, 6 6)		1 37 (d. 6 6)
	21100	[1]	c (uu)	0100 0102	4 41 (m, 6 6)		1 42 (d. 6 6)
2*c AuCL ⁻ salt	$(CD_{2})_{2}CO_{2}$	[-]	9 22 (dd)	8 95-8 09	(, 010)		1.86 (s)
BF ₄ salt	DMSO		9.04 (dd)	8 87-8 01			1.76(s)
214 5000	CD.CN		9.04 (dd)	8 59-7 72			1.78(s)
PF ⁻ salt	(CD_{a})		9.22 (dd)	8 94-8 09			1.85(s)
3 NoCroH-(CMea)-6	CD.CN		8 63 (dd)	8 52-7 32			1.00(s)
3a	(CD_{a})		9 34 (dd)	8 54-7 78			1.55(s)
$3h^e BE_{-}$ salt	CD.CN		8 83 (dd)	8 52-8 07			1.33(s)
4 N_{C} H_{C} $(\text{CH}_{\text{C}}\text{Me}_{\text{C}})$ -6	(CD_{1})		8 66 (dd)	8 51_7 24		2.76(s)	1.01(s)
4	$(CD_3)_2CO$		9.34 (dd)	8 68-7 57		3.17(s)	1.01(3) 1.02(s)
$4\mathbf{h}^{b}$ BF. ⁻ salt	$(CD_3)_2CO^d$	[2]	9.11 (dd)	8 91_7 95		3.84(s)	1.02(3) 1.18(s)
40 DI 4 Suit	$(CD_3)_2CO$	[1]	8 97 (dd)	0.91 7.95		3.78 (s)	1.10(3) 1.20(s)
	CDCN	[1]	8.97 (dd)	8 50-7 70		3.68 (s)	1.20(3) 1.10(s)
	CD3C1	[2]	8.71 (dd)	0.30-7.70		3.62 (s)	1.13 (s)
$4\mathbf{h}^e \mathbf{P} \mathbf{F}^-$ salt	(CD)CO	[4]	8.96 (dd)	8 91_7 97		3.02(8)	1.13(3)
To 116 Salt	CD_{3}		8.30 (dd)	8 10 7 71		3.63 (6)	1.19(3) 1.14(s)
	CD_3CN		0.75 (uu)	0.49-7.74		5.05 (8)	1.14(8)

^{*a*} Spectra recorded at room temperature, chemical shifts in ppm from internal SiMe₄, coupling constants (in parentheses) in Hz. ^{*b*} cis and trans isomers, integral ratios are in square brackets. ^{*c*} Overlapped. ^{*d*} Filtered solution. ^{*e*} trans isomer.



Fig. 1 An ORTEP view of the cation in $4b[PF_{6]2}$. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Atom H(112), which displays a short contact with atom O (see text), is also shown

are very similar and can be compared with the 1.93(2) Å Au–O distance, observed in polymeric gold(III) oxide, involving the oxygen atom that bridges two gold atoms.¹ A much longer Au–OH distance, 2.074(4) Å, can be found in [Au(C₆H₄NO₂-2)₂-(μ -OH)]₂·2Et₂O,¹⁴ where the oxygen atom is *trans* to an elongating aryl group.

Surprisingly enough, the two Au–N bonds in $4b[PF_6]_2$ are very different: Au–N(1) 2.011(4), and Au–N(2) 2.100(3) Å. The former value is normal and can be compared, for instance,

Table 2 Aromatic protons^{*a*} of compounds 3,^{*b*} $3b[BF_{4]2}$,^{*b*} 4^{c} and $4b[PF_{c]2}^{c}$

$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array}\\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\$						
	3	3b		4	4b	
	δ	δ	Δδ	δ	δ	$\Delta\delta$
$\mathrm{H}^{6'}$	8.63	8.84	0.21	8.66	8.96	0.30
$H^{6'}$	7.34	8.11	0.77	7.38	8.29	0.91
$H^{6'}$	7.85	8.49	0.64	7.91	8.71	0.80
$\mathrm{H}^{6'}$	8.51	8.53	0.02	8.50	8.90	0.40
H^3	8.20	8.44	0.24	8.32	8.82	0.50
H^{4}	7.78	8.38	0.60	7.83	8.59	0.76
H^5	7.42	8.21	0.79	7.25	7.98	0.73

^{*a*} Assignments based on COSY experiments, room temperature, chemical shifts in ppm from internal SiMe₄. ^{*b*} In CD₃CN. ^{*c*} In (CD₃)₂CO.

Table 3 Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) with estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) in parentheses for $4b[PF_6]_2$

Au–O	1.976(3)	Au–O'	1.961(3)
Au–N(1)	2.011(4)	Au–N(2)	2.100(3)
Au••••Au'	3.017(1)	O · · · · H(112)	2.076
Au-O-Au' O-Au-N(1) O'-Au-N(1) N(1)-Au-N(2)	100.0(1) 174.1(1) 94.2(1) 80.3(1)	O-Au-O' O-Au-N(2) O'-Au-N(2)	80.0(1) 105.5(1) 174.0(1)

with the average Au–N distance (2.040 Å) found in the two crystallographically independent molecules of $2^{c}[AuCl_{4}]$ [see later and references in the discussion of $2^{c}[AuCl_{4}]$], and shows that the oxygen atom has a low *trans* influence. The Au–N(2) bond is longer than Au–N(1) and the O–Au–N(2) angle,



Scheme 3 HL = $N_2C_{10}H_7$ (CHMe₂)-6; HL* = $N_2C_{10}H_7$ (CMe₂OH)-6; (*i*) MeCN, room temperature; (*ii*) MeCN–water, heat. Yields: (1) 22%; (2) 14%; (3) 28%

105.5(1)°, is larger than O'-Au-N(1), 94.2(1)°. This is required by the need to relieve the steric effects of the bulky substituent as indicated by the $O \cdots H(112)$ intramolecular contact of 2.076 Å (see Fig. 1). The two pyridine rings are planar and form a dihedral angle of 4.8(1.6)°.

The reactivity of the oxo complexes $1b[X_2]-4b[X]_2$ is currently under investigation. Preliminary results concerning the reactions with HX (X = BF₄ or PF₆) of complexes $3b[BF_4]_2$ and $4b[PF_6]_2$ in acetonitrile solution or in aqueous suspension show that protonation does not occur and neither dihydroxo complexes similar to those observed in vapour phase, or hydroxo bridged dimers are obtained.

In the case of ligand **2**, $N_2C_{10}H_7$ (CHMe₂)-6, in addition to the oxo-bridged derivatives, a mononuclear cation **2***c (AuCl₄, BF₄ and PF₆ salts) has been isolated through paths 1–3 (Scheme 3).

The lack of any resonance assignable to the CH proton and the singlet displayed by the CH₃ resonance in the ¹H NMR spectra of $2^{*}c$, taken together with an APT (attached proton test) experiment carried out on a ¹³C-{¹H} spectrum, provides evidence for a quaternary carbon atom on the arm of the ligand.

In the FAB mass spectra (positive ions) a peak at m/z 445, corresponding to C₁₃H₁₃AuClN₂O suggests that oxidation of ligand **2** has occurred. In agreement, the spectrum exhibits a peak at m/z 215 due to the protonated ligand **2*** [**2*** = N₂C₁₀H₇-(CMe₂OH)-6]. The conductivity data support an anionic behaviour of ligand **2***. In the ¹H NMR spectrum the downfield shift of the H^{6'} resonance indicates co-ordination of the nitrogen of the external pyridine ring. On the whole, the experimental data suggest that the anionic ligand **2*** is bonded to the gold(III) ion through an N,N,O sequence of donor atoms, to give a [5,5]-fused ring system.

The fluxional behaviour on the NMR time-scale of the five membered N,O ring at room temperature is consistent with previous results concerning an analogous five-membered N,O cycle ¹⁶ as well as N,C cycles of N,N,C tridentate ligands.^{8,17}

Definitive evidence for an N,N,O bound ligand was achieved by a X-ray crystal structure determination of $[Au\{N_2C_{10}H_7-(CMe_2O)-6\}Cl][AuCl_4]$, **2*c**[AuCl_4]. The structure consists of the packing of $[Au\{N_2C_{10}H_7(CMe_2O)-6\}Cl]^+$ cations and $[AuCl_4]^-$ anions in the molar ratio 1:1 with no unusual van der Waals contacts. There are two crystallographically independent cations and two anions in the unit cell. The poor quality of the crystal sample used (see Experimental section) results in bond parameters of low precision (e.s.d.s for C–C bonds being in the range 0.018–0.027 Å), so that a comparison of corresponding bond lengths and angles in the two cations is of low significance. Bond parameters involving the gold atoms are listed in Table 4. An ORTEP view of the cation of molecule A is shown in Fig. 2.

The gold atoms are in a slightly distorted square planar coordination [maximum deviations from the best plane in molecule A are +0.024(10) Å for O(1A) and -0.020(1) Å for Au(1A); in molecule B, +0.034(12) Å for N(2B) and -0.0243(12)Å for O(1B)]. The N,N,O ligand adopts a tridentate behaviour forming a [5,5]-fused ring system. As usual, the Au(1)–N(2) bond in common with the two fused rings **Table 4** Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) with e.s.d.s in parentheses for the two independent molecules of $2*c[AuCl_4]$

	Molecule A	Molecule B
Au(1)-Cl(1)	2.262(5)	2.261(5)
Au(1)-O(1)	1.974(9)	1.958(9)
Au(1)-N(1)	2.028(10)	2.053(9)
Au(1)-N(2)	1.930(11)	1.951(12)
Cl(1)-Au(1)-O(1)	94.4(3)	93.8(4)
Cl(1)-Au(1)-N(1)	100.2(3)	98.9(3)
Cl(1)-Au(1)-N(2)	178.4(3)	177.8(3)
O(1)-Au(1)-N(1)	165.1(4)	167.2(5)
O(1)-Au(1)-N(2)	84.1(4)	86.1(5)
N(1)-Au(1)-N(2)	81.3(4)	81.2(4)



Fig. 2 An ORTEP view of the cation of molecule A in 2*c[AuCl₄]. Thermal ellipsoids as in Fig. 1

is much shorter than the Au(1)-N(1) one (average 1.940 and 2.040 Å, respectively). The former value can be compared, for instance, to the Au^{III}-N distance, 1.976(5) Å, found in $[Au(L)Cl]^+$ [HL = 6-(*tert*-butyl)-2,2'-bipyridine].⁸ The Au(1)-N(1) bond is similar to the average Au-N distance, 2.039 Å, found in three Au^{III} complexes with halogen atoms and mononegative cis-bidentate N,O-ligands $[Au(L)X_2]$ (X = Cl or Br, HL = pyridine-2-carboxylic acid or 3-hydroxypyridine-2carboxylic acid),¹⁸ thus showing that the trans influence of the oxygen atom is very similar to that of Cl or Br. The average Au(1)–O(1) bond, 1.966 Å is shorter than the average Au–O distance, 2.010 Å, found in the aforementioned [Au(L)X₂] complexes,¹⁸ and is much shorter than the Au–O distance, 2.067(6) Å, observed in $[Au(dmp)(NC_9H_6O)][BF_4]$ [dmp = 2-(dimethylaminomethyl)phenyl, $NC_9H_6O = quinoline-8-olate]$,¹⁹ but the latter is clearly elongated by the influence of the trans aryl carbon. The average Au(1)-Cl(1) distance, 2.262 Å, is normal. The Au(1)–N(1)–C(5)–C(6)–N(2) pentaatomic ring is planar in both molecules A and B within the data precision [in A maximum distances from the best plane are +0.024(14) Å for C(6A) and -0.029(11) Å for N(2A), in B +0.024(11) Å for N(1B) and -0.019(13) Å for C(5B)]. On the contrary, the planarities are different in the two molecules A and B for the Au(1)-N(2)-C(10)-C(11)-O(1) pentaatomic ring; thus, in A the ring is not planar, maximum deviations from the best plane being +0.100(10) Å for O(1A) and -0.092(14) Å for C(11A), whereas in B it is strictly planar, maximum deviations being +0.005(15)Å for C(10B) and -0.006(12) Å for N(2B). This different behaviour must be attributed either to packing forces or to the low precision of the data, due to the poor quality of the crystal sample used. The four pyridinic rings are planar within the data precision.

At present we have no information on the mechanism of hydroxylation of ligand 2.

Experimental

General

The bipyridines HL were prepared according to literature methods;²⁰ Na[AuCl₄]·2H₂O was obtained from Johnson Matthey and AgBF₄ from Aldrich Chimica. Elemental analyses were performed with a Perkin-Elmer Analyzer 240B by Mr. A. Canu (Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Sassari). Conductivities were measured with a Philips PW 9505 conductimeter. Infrared spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer 983 spectrophotometer using Nujol mulls, ¹H and ¹³C-{¹H} NMR spectra with a Varian VXR 300 spectrometer operating at 299.9 and 75.4 MHz respectively; the 2-D experiments were performed by means of a COSY-90. The ¹H NMR data are collected in Tables 1 and 2; chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to internal tetramethylsilane. Mass spectra were obtained with a VG 7070 instrument operating under FAB conditions, with 3-nitrobenzyl alcohol as supporting matrix.

Preparations of the adducts [Au(HL)Cl₃]

The adducts [Au(HL)Cl₃] **1a**, **3a** and **4a** were prepared as reported previously,⁸ **2a** was prepared likewise.

[Au{N₂C₁₀H₇(CHMe₂)-6}Cl₃] 2a. To a solution of N₂C₁₀H₇-(CHMe₂)-6, **2** (0.495 g, 2.5 mmol) in MeCN (5 cm³) was added an aqueous solution (30 cm³) of Na[AuCl₄]·2H₂O (0.995 g, 2.5 mmol), the resulting yellow suspension was stirred for 30 h at room temperature. During this period the colour of the suspension turned to dark orange. The solid product was filtered off and air-dried under vacuum to give [Au(HL)Cl₃] **2a** (1.167 g, 93%). Recrystallization from chloroform–diethyl ether gave a dark orange crystalline product, m.p. 190–191 °C [Found: C, 30.43; H, 2.73; N, 5.47%; *m*/*z* 500 (*M*⁺). C₁₃H₁₄AuCl₃N₂ requires C, 31.13; H, 2.81; N, 5.58%; 500 (*M*)]; v_{max}/cm⁻¹ 1599m, 1589s, 1568s, 1485s, 1251s, 1165s, 1082m, 1037m, 1000s, 772vs and 358vs (Au–Cl); *m*/*z* 500 (*M*⁺), 464 (*M* – HCl), 429 (*M* – H – 2Cl), 394 (*M* – H – 3Cl) and 199 (H₂L⁺).

Reactions of the adducts 1a-4a

[Au₂{N₂C₁₀H₇(CH₂Me)-6}₂(μ-O)₂]²⁺ 1b. BF₄⁻ Salt. To a solution of [Au{N₂C₁₀H₇(CH₂Me)-6}Cl₃] 1a (0.487 g, 1 mmol) in acetone (25 cm³) were added compound 1 (0.184 g, 1 mol) and a solution of AgBF₄ (0.390 g, 2 mmol) in the same solvent (15 cm³), a precipitate of AgCl was formed immediately. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The solid product containing AgCl was filtered off and then extracted with Me₂SO. Addition of acetone to the filtered solution gave a pale yellow precipitate of [Au₂(HL)₂(μ-O)₂][BF₄]₂ 1b[BF₄]₂ (0.134 g, 27%), m.p. 197–198 °C {Found: C, 28.96; H, 2.39; N, 5.79%; *m*/*z* 793 (*M*⁺). C₂₄H₂₄Au₂B₂F₈N₄O₂·H₂O requires C, 29.23; H, 2.66; N, 5.68%; 794 (*M*, [Au₂(HL)₂(μ-O)₂]²⁺)}; v_{max}/cm⁻¹ 3642m, 3560w, 1609m, 1601s, 1567m, 1498s, 1392s, 1294m, 1237m, 1172m, 1054vs (br), 829m, 791s, 672vs, 651m, 593m and 521s; *m*/*z* 881 ([*M* + BF₄]⁺), 793 (*M* – H), 593 (*M* – HL – OH), 415 ([Au(HL)(OH)₂]⁺), 397 (¹/₂M⁺), 381 (HLAu) and 183 (L⁺).

 PF_6^{-} Salt. To a suspension of **1a** (0.212 g, 0.435 mmol) in MeCN (2 cm³) were added solid KPF₆ (0.240 g, 1.305 mmol) and an aqueous solution (15 cm³) of MeCO₂Na (0.0714 g, 0.87 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 1 h and then filtered off and dried under vacuum to give **1b** as the PF₆ salt (0.208 g, 88%). Recrystallization from acetonitrile–diethyl ether gave the analytical sample as a pale yellow solid, m.p. 205–207 °C {Found: C, 26.41; H, 1.95; N, 5.14%; *m*/*z* 939 (*M*⁺). C₂₄H₂₄-Au₂F₁₂N₄O₂P₂ requires C, 26.58; H, 2.23; N, 5.17%; 794 (*M*, [Au₂(HL)₂(μ-O)₂]²⁺); Λ_M (5 × 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³, MeCN) 300 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max}/cm⁻¹ 1605m, 1568m, 1498s, 1299s, 1261m,

1171w, 1106w, 1026w, 839vs, 786s, 674s, 663s, 652m, 592m and 566vs; m/z 939 ($[M + PF_6]^+$) and 380 ($\frac{1}{2}M - OH$).

[Au₂{N₂C₁₀H₇(CHMe₂)-6}₂(μ-O)₂]²⁺ 2b. BF₄⁻ Salt. To a solution of [Au{N₂C₁₀H₇(CHMe₂)-6}Cl₃] 2a (0.501 g, 1 mmol) in acetone (25 cm³) were added compound 2 (0.198 g, 1 mmol) and a solution of AgBF₄ (0.390 g, 2 mmol) in the same solvent (15 cm³), a precipitate of AgCl was formed immediately. The mixture was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The solid product containing AgCl was filtered off and then extracted with MeCN. Addition of diethyl ether to the filtered solution gave a pale yellow precipitate of [Au₂(HL)₂(μ-O)₂][BF₄]₂ 2b[BF₄]₂ (0.110 g, 22%), m.p. 195–196 °C (Found: C, 30.26; H, 2.63; N, 5.82. C₂₆H₂₈Au₂B₂F₈N₄O₂·H₂O requires C, 30.79; H, 2.98; N, 5.52%); Λ_M (5 × 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³, MeCN) 280 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max}/cm⁻¹ 3629w, 3558w, 1609m, 1600s, 1568m, 1495s, 1296m, 1257w, 1235m, 1172m, 1051vs (br), 825w, 788s, 722m, 673s and 520m.

 PF_6^- Salt. To a suspension of 2a (0.502 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (5 cm³) were added solid KPF₆ (0.552 g, 3 mmol) and an aqueous solution (20 cm³) of MeCO₂Na (0.164 g, 2 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 5 d at room temperature and then filtered off. Unreacted 2a was extracted from the solid with CHCl₃. The residue was dissolved in acetone, the filtered solution was concentrated to small volume and diethyl ether was added to give a peach yellow precipitate of **2b** as the PF_6^- salt (0.270 g, 48%), m.p. 186-187 °C {Found: C, 28.45; H, 2.50; N, 4.88%; m/z 821 (M^+). C₂₆H₂₈Au₂F₁₂N₄O₂P₂ requires C, 28.07; H, 2.54; N, 5.04%; 822 (M, [Au₂(HL)₂(μ -O)₂]²⁺)}; $\Lambda_{\rm M}$ (5 × 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³, MeCN) 300 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹; $\nu_{\rm max}$ /cm⁻¹ 1601vs, 1568s, 1495vs, 1296s, 1257m, 1236m, 1172s, 1087s, 1060vs, 1045w, 1021m, 840vs (br), 782vs, 740m, 723s, 672vs and 557s; m/z 967 $([M + PF_6]^+)$, 821 (M - H), 805 (M - H - O), 607 (M - HL - HL)OH), 591 (M - HL - 2O - H), 429 $([Au(HL)(OH)_2]^+)$, 411 $(\frac{1}{2}M^+)$, 395 (HLAu) and 198 (HL⁺).

 $[Au{N_2C_{10}H_7(CMe_2O)-6}CI]^+ 2*c. BF_4^- Salt.$ To a solution of 2a (0.501 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (20 cm³) were added compound 2 (0.198 g, 1 mmol) and a solution of $AgBF_4$ (0.390 g, 2 mmol) in the same solvent (15 cm³), a precipitate of AgCl was formed immediately. The mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature and then filtered. The solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue treated first with dichloromethane, which extracted the salt [H₂L][BF₄], then with acetone, which extracted impure 2*c, finally with MeCN. The filtered solution was concentrated to a small volume and diethyl ether was added to give 2*c as a pale yellow solid (0.117 g, 22%), m.p. 215-216 °C {Found: C, 29.18; H, 2.44; N, 5.38%; m/z 445 (M⁺). C13H13AuBClF4N2O requires C, 29.32; H, 2.46; N, 5.26%; 445 $(M, [Au(L^*)Cl^+]); \Lambda_M (5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol } dm^{-3}, MeCN) 140 \ \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}; \nu_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1} 1609\text{m}, 1601\text{m}, 1575\text{w}, 1493\text{s}, 1334\text{w},$ 1307s, 1257s, 1205m, 1171w, 1105vs, 1056vs (br), 950w, 875m, 798m, 780vs, 684m, 669m, 521m, 390m, and 372m (Au-Cl); m/z 445 (M^+) , 429 (M - O), 410 (M - Cl), 395 (MH - O - Cl), 215 (H_2L^{*+}) and 199 $(H_2L^* - O)$.

PF₆[−] Salt. To a suspension of complex **2a** (0.332 g, 0.66 mmol) in MeCN (10 cm³) was added an aqueous solution (15 cm³) of KPF₆ (0.488 g, 2.64 mmol). The resulting suspension was refluxed for 11 h and then filtered off and air dried. Some unreacted **2a** was extracted with chloroform from the solid product and the residue dissolved in acetone. The filtered solution was concentrated to a small volume and diethyl ether was added to give **2*c** as the PF₆[−] salt (0.055 g, 14%), m.p. 198–201 °C [Found: C, 26.23; H, 2.19; N, 4.61%; *mlz* 445 (*M*⁺). C₁₃H₁₃AuClF₆N₂OP requires C, 26.43; H, 2.22; N, 4.74%; 445 (*M*)]; v_{max} /cm⁻¹ 1601s, 1572m, 1492s, 1306m, 1256m, 1204w, 1167w, 1128m, 1103m, 1082w, 1060w, 875vs, 841vs (br), 796s, 776vs, 674m, 557vs, 389w and 357m (Au–Cl); *mlz* 590 ([*M* + PF₆]⁺), 445 (*M*⁺), 429 (*M* − O), 410 (*M* − Cl), 394 (*M* − O − Cl), 215 (H₂L^{*+}) and 199 (H₂L^{*} − O).

 $AuCl_{4}^{-}$ Salt. An aqueous solution (20 cm³) of Na[AuCl_{4}]. 2H₂O (0.796 g, 2 mmol) was added to a solution of compound 2 (0.198 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (2 cm³), the resulting suspension was refluxed for 20 h and then filtered off. Treatment of the crude product with chloroform $(3 \times 10 \text{ cm}^3)$ gave a dark orange solution and a yellow residue. The filtered solution was concentrated to a small volume and diethyl ether was added to give complex 2a (0.234 g, 47%). The residue was dissolved in acetone and filtered through Celite, addition of diethyl ether to the concentrated solution gave a yellow product which was crystallized from acetone–diethyl ether to yield $2^{\circ}c$ as the AuCl₄⁻ salt (0.220 g, 28%), m.p. 229-230 °C {Found: C, 19.87; H, 1.77; N, 3.55%; m/z 445 (\hat{M}^+ , FAB⁺ MS) and 337 (M^- , FAB⁻ MS). C₁₃H₁₃-Au₂Cl₅N₂O requires C, 19.90; H, 1.67; N, 3.57%; 445 (M, [Au(L*)Cl⁺]) and 337 (AuCl₄⁻)}; $\Lambda_{\rm M}$ (5 × 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³, acetone) 120 Ω^{-1} cm² mol⁻¹; $\nu_{\rm max}/cm^{-1}$ 1606m, 1596m, 1568w, 1400 1488s, 1331w, 1302s, 1257m, 1200w, 1168w, 1126m, 1101m, 1079m, 1030m, 947m, 870m, 797m, 783s, 683m, 338m and 360vs (Au–Cl); δ_c[(CD₃)₂CO] 149.8, 146.0, 145.9, 131.3, 127.7, 126.5 and 125.2 (7C, aromatic CH), 176.2, 159.0 and 152.0 (3C, aromatic C), 98.75 (1C, CMeO) and 30.8 (2C, CH₃); m/z 445 (M^+) , 429 (M - O), 410 (M - Cl), 394 (MH - O - Cl), 215 (H_2L^{*+}) and 199 $(H_2L^* - O)$. Crystals of complex $2^{c}[AuCl_4]$ were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetone solution.

[Au₂{N₂C₁₀H₇(CMe₃)-6}₂(μ-O)₂]²⁺ 3b. BF₄⁻ Salt. Complex 3b[BF₄]₂ was obtained as a by-product of the synthesis of [Au{N₂C₁₀H₇(CMe₂CH₂)-6}Cl]^{+,8} Here are the reported analytical and spectroscopic data. Yield 24%, m.p. 160–162 °C {Found: C, 32.21; H, 3.42; N, 5.47; O, 3.0%; *mlz* 937 (*M*⁺). C₂₈H₃₂Au₂B₂F₈N₄O₂ requires C, 32.83; H, 3.15; N, 5.47; O, 3.1%; 850 (*M*, [Au₂(HL)₂(μ-O)₂]²⁺); Λ_M(5 × 10⁻⁴ mol dm⁻³, MeCN) 320 Ω⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹; v_{max}/cm⁻¹ 1600s, 1566m, 1494s, 1329w, 1295m, 1282w, 1227m, 1050vs (br), 823w, 782vs, 707w, 669w, 672s, 654s, 641s, 589m and 520m; *mlz* 937 ([*M* + BF₄]⁺), 869 ([*M* + F]⁺), 637 (*M* - H₂L), 621 (*M* - HL - OH), 605 (*M* - HL - 2O - H), 443 [{Au(HL)(OH)₂}⁺], 425 ($\frac{1}{2}M^+$), 409 ($\frac{1}{2}M$ - O) and 213 (H₂L⁺).

 $[Au_2{N_2C_{10}H_7(CH_2CMe_3)-6}_2(\mu-O)_2]^{2+}$ 4b. BF₄ - Salt. To a solution of [Au{N₂C₁₀H₇(CH₂CMe₃)-6}Cl₃] 4a (0.529 g, 1 mmol) in acetone (25 cm³) were added compound 4 (0.226 g, 1 mmol) and a solution of AgBF₄ (0.390 g, 2 mmol) in the same solvent (15 cm³), a precipitate of AgCl was formed immediately. The mixture was stirred for 20 h at room temperature. The solid products were separated and the filtered solution was added to solid K₂CO₃ and stirred for 5 h. After filtration the solution was evaporated to dryness, the free ligand was extracted with diethyl ether from the solid, then the residue was crystallized from MeCN-diethyl ether to give a pale yellow solid of 4b (0.110 g). A second crop (0.040 g) was extracted with MeCN from the solid residue containing AgCl, m.p. 223-225 °C {Found: C, 32.75; H, 3.36; N, 5.06%; m/z 877 (M⁺). C₃₀H₃₆Au₂B₂F₈N₄O₂· 2H₂O requires C, 33.11; H, 3.70; N, 5.15%; 878 (*M*, [Au₂-(HL)₂(μ -O)₂]²⁺)}; $\Lambda_{\rm M}(5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}, \text{MeCN})$ 300 Ω^{-1} cm² mol^{-1} ; v_{max}/cm^{-1} 1601s, 1568s, 1496s, 1336m, 1307s, 1282m, 1222m, 1051vs (br), 825w, 785w, 743w, 719w, 677s and 663s; m/z 965 ($[M + BF_4]^+$), 897 ($[M + F]^+$), 877 (M - H), 663 $(M - Au - H_2O), 649 (M - Au - 2O), 635 (M - HL - OH),$ 619 (M - HL - 2O - H), 457 $([\text{Au}(\text{HL})(\text{OH})_2]^+)$, 439 $(\frac{1}{2}M^+)$, 423 ($\frac{1}{2}M - O$) and 227 (H₂L⁺).

 PF_6^- Salt. To a suspension of **4a** (0.767 g, 1.45 mmol) in MeCN (10 cm³) were added solid KPF₆ (0.800 g, 4.35 mmol) and an aqueous solution (15 cm³) of MeCO₂Na (0.238 g, 2.90 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 8 h and then filtered off and dried under vacuum to give **4b** as the PF₆⁻ salt (0.793, 93.6%). Recrystallization from acetone–diethyl ether gave the analytical sample, m.p. 192–194 °C {Found: C, 30.59; H, 3.19; N, 4.65%; *m/z* 1023 (*M*⁺). C₃₀H₃₆Au₂F₁₂N₄O₂P₂ requires C,

 Table 5
 Crystallographic data

~ .		
Compound	$4b[PF_6]_2$	$2^{*}c[AuCl_{4}]$
Formula	$C_{30}H_{36}Au_2F_{12}N_4O_2P_2$	$C_{13}H_{13}Au_2Cl_5N_2O$
Μ	1168.51	784.46
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> 1 (no. 2)	<i>Cc</i> (no. 9)
aĺÅ	8.287(1)	32.245(8)
b/Å	10.595(1)	8.162(2)
c/Å	10.947(1)	16.342(4)
α/°	73.27(1)	
β/°	76.65(1)	114.23(1)
γ/°	85.79(1)	
$U/Å^3$	895.6(2)	3922.1(2.0)
Ζ	1	8
T/K	298	298
μ (Mo-K α)/cm ⁻¹	83.5	156.1
No. of reflections	10 934, 3833	21 593, 8634
(total, independent)		
R _{int}	0.021	0.029
Final R_2 and R_{2w} indices (F^2)	0.038, 0.053	0.072, 0.086
Conventional R_1 index	0.028	0.059

30.83; H, 3.10; N, 4.79%; 878 (M, $[Au_2(HL)_2(\mu-O)_2]^{2^+}$); $\Lambda_M(5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol dm}^{-3}, \text{ MeCN})$ 288 $\Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1}$; ν_{max}/cm^{-1} 1600s, 1564s, 1495s, 1363s, 1333m, 1304s, 1282w, 1222s, 1173w, 1146w, 1114m, 1060m, 1020s, 832vs (br), 786vs, 744m, 719m, 675vs and 557vs; m/z 1023 ($[M + PF_6]^+$), 664 (M - Au - OH), 648 (M - Au - OH - O), 456 (M - Au - L), 439 ($\frac{1}{2}M^+$), 422 ($\frac{1}{2}M - \text{OH}$) and 227 (H₂L⁺).

Crystals of complex $4b[PF_{6}]_2$ were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution.

Reactions of the μ -oxo derivatives with HX (X = BF₄⁻ or PF₆⁻)

To a solution of $[Au_{2}{N_{2}C_{10}H_{7}(CMe_{3})-6}_{2}(\mu-O)_{2}][BF_{4}]_{2}$ **3b**[BF_{4}]_{2} (0.102 g, 0.1 mmol) in MeCN (15 cm³) was added HBF_4·OEt₂ (0.2 mmol), some decomposition to metallic gold was observed. The solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, then filtered through Celite and concentrated to a small volume; addition of diethyl ether gave a pale yellow precipitate of the starting product (0.076 g).

To an aqueous suspension of $[Au_2\{N_2C_{10}H_7(CH_2CMe_3)-6\}_2(\mu-O)_2][PF_6]_2$ **4b** (0.117 g, 0.1 mmol) was added aqueous HPF₆ (2 mmol), the resulting mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. The starting product was recovered almost quantitatively after filtration and crystallization from acetone–diethyl ether.

Crystallography

Crystal data and other experimental details for complexes $4b[PF_6]_2$ and $2*c[AuCl_4]$ are summarized in Table 5.§ The diffraction experiments were carried out on a Siemens SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer at room temperature using Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) with a graphite crystal monochromator in the incident beam.

Crystals of **4b**[PF₆]₂ were of good quality, whereas crystals of **2***c[AuCl₄] were thin and very weakly diffracting; one of the latter, giving the 'best' diffraction, was used for data collection. Cell parameters and orientation matrix were obtained from the least-squares refinement of 50 (for **4b**[PF₆]₂) and 57 (for **2***c[AuCl₄]) reflections measured in three different sets of 15 frames each, in the range $2 < \theta < 23^{\circ}$. At the end of data collections (for **4b**[PF₆]₂) and 400 reflections (for **2***c[AuCl₄]), were recollected to monitor crystal decay, which was not observed, so no time-

[§] *Note added at proof*: the crytstal structure of compound *cis*-**2b**-[AuCl₄][PF₆] has been solved (X-ray diffraction) by two of us (M. M. and M. S.).

decay correction was needed. The collected frames were processed with the software SAINT,^{21a} and an absorption correction was applied (SADABS^{21b}) to the 10 934 collected reflections of **4b**[PF₆]₂ (3833 of which are unique with $R_{\rm int} = 0.0212$ ($R_{\rm int} = \Sigma |F_o^2 - F_{\rm mean}^2|/\Sigma F_o^2$) and to the 21 593 collected reflections of **2*c**[AuCl₄] (8634 of which are unique with $R_{\rm int} = 0.0287$).

The calculations were performed on an AST Power Premium 486/33 computer using the Personal Structure Determination Package²² and the physical constants tabulated therein. Scattering factors and anomalous dispersion corrections were taken from ref. 23. The structures were solved by Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by full-matrix least squares using all reflections and minimizing the function $\Sigma w (F_0^2 - kF_c^2)^2$ (refinement on F^2). Anisotropic thermal factors were refined for all the non-hydrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms were placed in their ideal positions (C–H = 0.97 Å, B 1.15 times that of the carbon atom to which they are attached) and not refined. For non-centrosymmetric 2*c[AuCl₄], full refinement of the reported structure model led to $R_2 = 0.072$ and $R_{2w} = 0.086$, full refinement of the inverted structure led to $R_2 = 0.081$ and $R_{2w} =$ 0.099. The final Fourier-difference maps show for $4b[PF_6]_2$ a maximum residual of 1.29(4) e Å⁻³ at 0.89 Å from Au, a largest residual not close to the heavy atom of 1.16 e $Å^{-3}$, and a maximum negative peak of -1.33 e Å⁻³; for 2*c[AuCl₄] corresponding values are 2.84(6) e $Å^{-3}$ at 0.72 Å from Au(1B), 1.40 e $Å^{-3}$ and -2.92 e $Å^{-3}$, respectively. The atomic coordinates of the structure models are deposited with the Cambridge Data File.

CCDC reference number 186/948.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (MURST) (40%) and Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1 P. G. Jones, H. Rumpel, E. Schwarzmann and G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 1979, **35**, 1435.
- 2 R. J. Puddephatt, *The Chemistry of Gold*, ed. R. J. H. Clark, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1978.
- 3 J.-H. Park and J. B. Parise, Chem. Mater., 1995, 7, 1055.
- 4 A. N. Nesmeyanov, E. G. Perevalova, Yu. T. Struchkov, M. Yu. Antipin, K. I. Grandberg and V. P. Dyadchenko, J. Organomet. Chem., 1980, 201, 343; Y. Yang, V. Ramamoorthy and P. R. Sharp, Inorg. Chem., 1993, 32, 1946; K. Angermaier and H. Schmidbaur, Inorg. Chem., 1994, 33, 2069; K. Angermaier and H. Schmidbaur, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1995, 51, 1793; J. Vicente, M. T. Chicote, R. Guerrero, P. G. Jones and M. C. Ramirez de Arellano, Inorg. Chem., 1997, 36, 4438.
- 5 H. Schmidbaur, S. Hofreiter and M. Paul, *Nature (London)*, 1995, 377, 503.

110, 8543; V. Ramamoorthy and P. R. Sharp, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, 29, 3336; D. Min, R. D. Larsen, K. Emerson and E. H. Abbott, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, 29, 73; W. Li, C. L. Barnes and P. R. Sharp, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1990, 1634; T. Hosokava, M. Takano and S.-I. Murahashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, 118, 3990; Y. Zhang, R. J. Puddephatt, L. Manojlovic-Muir and K. W. Muir, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 2599.

- 7 H. Mimoun, M. M. Perez Machirant and I. S. de Roch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 5437; W. L. Hecker and A. T. Bell, J. Catal., 1979, 59, 223; R. B. Grant and R. M. Lambert, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 662; P. Loriner and A. T. Bell, J. Catal., 1983, 84, 200; M. Asscher, W. L. Guthrie, Y.-H. Lin and G. A. Somorjai, J. Phys. Chem., 1984, 88, 3233; P. Berlowitz, B. L. Yang, J. B. Butt and H. H. Kung, Surf. Sci., 1985, 159, 540; C. E. Sumner, jun. and G. R. Steinmetz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 6124; S. Akhter and J. M. White, Surf. Sci., 1986, 167, 101; G. E. Mitchell, S. Akhter and J. M. White, Surf. Sci., 1986, 166, 183; D. A. Outka and R. J. Madix, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, **109**, 1708; L.-W. H. Leung and M. J. Weaver, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, **109**, 5113; J. T. Roberts and R. J. Madix, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 8540; K. A. Jørgensen, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 431; S. Ladas, R. Imbihl and G. Ertl, Surf. Sci., 1989, 219, 88; X. Xu and C. M. Friend, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 4571; S. Ladas, R. Imbihl and G. Ertl, Surf. Sci., 1993, 280, 14; G. J. Millar, J. Seakins, J. B. Metson, G. A. Bowmaker and R. P. Cooney, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 525; L. D. Burke and P. F. Nugent, Gold Bull., 1997, 30, 43.
- 8 M. A. Cinellu, A. Zucca, S. Stoccoro, G. Minghetti, M. Manassero and M. Sansoni, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 4217.
- 9 M. A. Cinellu, G. Minghetti, S. Stoccoro, A. Zucca, M. V. Pinna, M. Manassero and M. Sansoni, XIIth FECHEM Conference on Organometallic Chemistry, Prague, 1997, Abstracts, PA156.
- A. C. Cope and E. C. Friedrich, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 909;
 I. Omae, Organometallic Intramolecular-Coordination Compounds,
 J. Organomet. Chem. Library 18, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1986, p. 9.
- 11 W. J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1971, 7, 110.
- 12 H. J. Mok, J. A. Davis, S. Pal, S. K. Mandal and W. H. Armstrong, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1997, **263**, 385; S. R. Cooper and M. Calvin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **99**, 6623; L. J. Boucher and C. G. Coe, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1975, **14**, 1289.
- 13 C. K. Johnson, ORTEP, Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1976.
- 14 J. Vicente, M. D. Bermudez, F. J. Carrion and P. G. Jones, J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 508, 53.
- 15 M. Peteau-Boisdenghien, J. Meunier-Piret and M. van Meerssche, Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1975, **4**, 375.
- 16 L. Canovese, L. Cattalini, G. Marangoni and M. L. Tobe, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1985, 731.
- 17 G. Minghetti, M. A. Cinellu, S. Stoccoro, A. Zucca and M. Manassero, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 777.
- 18 A. Dar, K. Moss, S. M. Cottrill, R. V. Parish, C. A. McAuliffe, R. G. Pritchard, B. Beagley and J. Sandbank, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, 1907.
- 19 J. Vicente, M. T. Chicote, M. D. Bermudez, P. G. Jones, C. Fittschen and G. M. Sheldrick, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1986, 2361.
- 20 U. Azzena, G. Chelucci, G. Delogu, S. Gladiali, M. Marchetti, F. Soccolini and C. Botteghi, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, 1986, **116**, 307; C. Botteghi, G. Chelucci, G. Chessa, G. Delogu, S. Gladiali and F. Soccolini, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1986, **304**, 217.
- 21 (a) SAINT, Reference manual, Siemens Energy & Automation, Madison, WI, 1994–1996; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, Empirical Absorption Corrections Program, University of Göttingen, 1997.
- 22 B. A. Frenz, Comput. Phys., 1988, 2, 42; Crystallographic Computing 5, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991, ch. 11, p. 126.
- 23 International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974, vol. 4.

Received 28th January 1998; Paper 8/00757H